

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/785,759 02/16/20		02/16/2001	Ranjit Gharpurey	TI-31261	2970	
23494	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER		
TEXAS IN	STRUME	ENTS INCORPOR	YUN, EUGENE			
P O BOX 65			ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, 7	ΓX 75265	5	ART UNIT	PAPER NOWIDER		
•	i .		2618			

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	oplication No.	ication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			9/785,759	GHARPUREY, F	GHARPUREY, RANJIT				
			caminer	Art Unit					
		E	ıgene Yun	2618					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a) nication. Itory period will ap III, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) M se the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 04 Augu	st 2006						
·			ion is non-final.						
		•—		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		<u>.</u> ,	,					
·		the englicati	nn						
	Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001	is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) a	bjected to by the Examine	er.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the draw	ving(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	ne correction i	s required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of:		•	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do			A 12 12 A 1					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 0.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			en received in this Nationa	i Stage				
* 0	application from the Internationa	·	• **	-4					
3	ee the attached detailed Office action	ior a list of tr	ie certified copies n	ot received.					
Attachment	` <i>`</i>		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	2.040	4) L Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	J- 94 0)		o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application					
	No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _						

Art Unit: 2618

منه

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morishige et al. (US 6,600,911) in view of Matero (US 6,215,988).

Referring to Claim 1, Morishige teaches a frequency division duplexed (FDD) radio (see col. 3, lines 53-67 noting that the general definition of a FDD radio is a radio which transmits at a different frequency than it receives a signal), comprising:

a duplexer 17 (fig. 2);

a transmitter section 22 (fig. 2) coupled to the duplexer, the transmitter section transmitting in a transmit frequency band having a center frequency; and

a receiver section 21 (fig. 2) coupled to the transmitter section, for receiving a signal at a receive frequency that is different from the transmit band center frequency (see col. 3, lines 53-67 noting that the difference is 1/2) the receiver section including a first down conversion section 4 (fig. 2) comprising first and second mixers (see the two mixers inside 4 of fig. 2).

Morishige does not teach mixers receiving a first local oscillator (LO) signal having a frequency equal to the transmit band center frequency or a sub-harmonic

Art Unit: 2618

thereof. Matero teaches mixers receiving a first local oscillator (LO) signal having a frequency equal to the transmit band center frequency or a sub-harmonic thereof (see col. 8, lines 17-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Matero to said method of Morishige in order to better reduce the interference in the radio.

Referring to Claim 8, Morishige teaches a method for minimizing the interference caused by the transmit signal produced by the transmit section 22 (fig. 2) of a frequency division duplexed (FDD) radio (see col. 3, lines 53-67 noting that the general definition of a FDD radio is a radio which transmits at a different frequency than it receives a signal), in a transmit frequency band having a center frequency, on a signal at a receive frequency differing from the transmit band center frequency (see col. 3, lines 53-67 noting that the difference is 1/2) and received by the receiver section 21 (fig. 2) of the radio, the receiver section having a first down conversion section 4 (fig. 2), the method comprising the steps of:

providing a local oscillator (LO) signal 5 (fig. 2) to the first down conversion section of the receiver (see col. 7, lines 19-23); and

filtering the output of the first down conversion section of the receiver 6 (fig. 2 and col. 7, lines 14-19).

Morishige does not teach the LO signal having a frequency equal to the transmit band center frequency or a sub-harmonic thereof. Matero teaches the LO signal having a frequency equal to the transmit band center frequency or a sub-harmonic thereof (see col. 8, lines 17-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2618

art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Matero to said method of Morishige in order to better reduce the interference in the radio.

3. Claims 3-7 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morishige and Matero in view of Tolson et al. (US Patent App. 09/413,725).

Referring to Claim 3, the combination of Matero and Morishige does not teach a first high pass filter coupled to the output of the first mixer and a second high pass filter coupled to the output of the second mixer. Tolson teaches a first high pass filter 10 (fig. 1) coupled to the output of the first mixer and a second high pass filter 11 (fig. 1) coupled to the output of the second mixer (see pg. 4, lines 16-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Tolson to the modified device of Morishige and Matero in order to reduce the size of the radio while enhancing reliable operations.

Referring to Claim 9, the combination of Matero and Morishige does not teach high pass filtering the output of the first down conversion section. Tolson teaches high pass filtering the output of the first down conversion section (see 10 and 11 of fig. 1 and pg. 4, lines 16-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Tolson to the modified device of Morishige and Matero in order to reduce the size of the radio while enhancing reliable operations.

Referring to Claims 5 and 11, Tolson also teaches cascaded single pole high pass filters (fig. 1 and pg. 5, lines 20-24).

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 6, the combination of Morishige and Matero does not teach the high pass filters having an output and a first set of two mixers coupled to the output of the first high pass filter and a second set of two mixers coupled to the output of the second high pass filter. Tolson teaches the high pass filters 10 and 11 (fig. 1) having an output and a first set of two mixers 6 (fig. 1) coupled to the output of the first high pass filter and a second set of two mixers 12 (fig. 1) coupled to the output of the second high pass filter (see pg. 6, lines 13-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Tolson to the modified device of Morishige and Matero in order to better enhance the performance of the radio.

Referring to Claims 4 and 10, Morishige also teaches integrated DC blocking capacitors (see col. 11, lines 12-17).

Referring to Claim 7, Tolson also teaches a first mixer of the first set of two mixers providing an in-phase component at an output and a second mixer of the first set of two mixers providing a quadrature component at an output (fig. 1) and further comprising:

a first adder 5 (fig. 1) having a first input for receiving the output of the second mixer of the first set of two mixers, and a second input for receiving the output of the first mixer of the second set of two mixers, said first adder having an output for providing an in-phase component base band signal (see pg. 5, lines 5-12); and

a second adder 5 (fig. 1) having a first input for receiving the output of the first mixer of the first set of two mixers, and a second input for receiving the output of the

Art Unit: 2618

second mixer of the second set of two mixers, said second adder having an output for providing a quadrature component base band signal (see pg. 5, lines 5-12).

Referring to Claim 12, Tolson also teaches down converting the high pass filtered output using a second down conversion section 21 (fig. 1 and pg. 5, lines 14-15).

Referring to Claim 13, Tolson also teaches a first high pass filter 10 (fig. 1) coupled to the output of the first mixer, for passing frequencies including an intermediate frequency corresponding to a difference between the center frequency of the receiver section and the center frequency at which the transmitter section transmits (see pg. 4, lines 16-23); and

a second high pass filter 11 (fig. 1) coupled to the output of the second mixer, for passing frequencies including an intermediate frequency corresponding to a difference between the center frequency of the receiver section and the center frequency at which the transmitter section transmits (see pg. 4, lines 16-23).

Referring to Claim 14, Morishige teaches a method of operating a receiver 21 (fig. 2) in an FDD radio (see col. 3, lines 53-67 noting that the general definition of a FDD radio is a radio which transmits at a different frequency than it receives a signal) to remove, from a desired receive signal, interference caused by a transmitter 22 (fig. 2) transmitting at a transmit center frequency, the desired receive signal having a receive center frequency that is different from the transmit center frequency, comprising the steps of:

Mixing the receive signal with a local oscillator frequency 5 (fig. 2) to provide a down-converted receive signal 4 (fig. 2).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/785,759

Art Unit: 2618

Morishige does not teach the local oscillator frequency equal to the transmit center frequency of a sub-harmonic thereof. Matero teaches the local oscillator frequency equal to the transmit center frequency of a sub-harmonic thereof (see col. 8, lines 17-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Matero to said method of Morishige in order to better reduce the interference in the radio. The combination of Morishige and Matero does not teach high pass filtering the down converted receive signal and converting the high pas filtered down converted receive signal to a baseband signal. Tolson teaches high pass filtering the down converted receive signal (see 10 and 11 of fig. 1) and converting the high pas filtered down converted receive signal to a baseband signal (see col. 4, lines 27-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Tolson to the modified device of Morishige and Matero in order to better enhance the performance of the radio.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/785,759 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571)272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618

EY

MATTHEW ANDERSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER